

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/986,995	11/13/2001	Rudi Koelle	225/50556	6047	
23911	7590 10/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			LUGO, CARLOS		
P.O. BOX 14	UAL PROPERTY GROU 300	P	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			3676		

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/986,995 -	KOELLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carlos Lugo	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 M	ay 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•		) (d) or (6)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	a hava baan rassiyad					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	•	ed III triis National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ad				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	<del>.</del>				
	·					
	•					
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
<ul> <li>2) I Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔀 Other: <u>attachment ‡</u>	<u>±1</u> .				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's RCE filed on May 27, 2005.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the angle between the swiveling axis and the upper side or underneath side of the handle pull that is a no right angle, as claimed in claim 1, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective

action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) The invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Pat No 6,234,041 to Larabet et al (Larabet).

Regarding claim 1, Larabet discloses a door handle arrangement (10) for a door of a vehicle, wherein the vehicle present orthogonal longitudinal and vertical axes, comprising an outside handle pull (32) being pivotally mounted about a swiveling axis (40) at a forward or rear end of the handle pull to a carrier component within a mounting (30). The handle pull further has a grip portion (32b) between the ends.

The grip portion and the ends are disposed in a plane perpendicular to the vertical axis when the handle pull is at a rest position (Figure 2).

The mounting is designed such that the swiveling axis is tilted in a direction transverse to the longitudinal axis and away from the vertical axis, such that the handle pull pivots upward and outward when the door lock is opened (because of the inclination of the door handle arrangement with respect to the door, see attachment #1).

Also, Larabet discloses that at least in an area of the handle pull ends, an upper side or an underneath side of the handle pull extends approximately parallel to a horizontal plane of the vehicle, such that an angle between the swiveling axis and the upper or underneath side of the handle pull is a no right angle (see attachment #1).

As to claim 2, Larabet discloses that the handle pull is guided within a guide mechanism (30e), at an end that faces away from the pivotally-mounted end of the handle pull, with sides of the guide mechanism defining a guide direction that is tilted relative to the longitudinal axis of the vehicle, and wherein an angle between the guide direction and the longitudinal axis of the vehicle is substantially equal to an angle formed between the swiveling axis and the vertical axis of the vehicle.

As to claim 3, Larabet discloses that a guide element (32g) held within the guide mechanism of the handle pull is equipped with contact zones, which extend substantially parallel to the corresponding sides of the guide mechanism.

Application/Control Number: 09/986,995

Art Unit: 3676

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on May 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's arguments that Larabet fails to disclose that at least in an

Page 5

area of the handle pull ends, an upper side or an underneath side of the handle pull

extends approximately parallel to a horizontal plane of the vehicle, such that an angle

between the swiveling axis and the upper or underneath side of the handle pull is a

no right angle (Page 6 Line 13), Larabet illustrates that limitation (see attachment #1).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo whose telephone number 571-272-7058.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-

7049.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-

306-5771.

C.L.

Carlos Lugo AU 3676 BRIAN E. GLESSNER

October 17, 2005.

